



Regulatory Assistance Reviews

Kevin Dressman

Office of Worker Safety and Health Enforcement

Russell Barnes
Office of Security Enforcement

April 6, 2011



Purpose of Regulatory Assistance Reviews



- Promote and communicate regulatory compliance program improvements at DOE and NNSA sites
- Review contractor processes for identifying, screening, reporting and correcting noncompliances associated with DOE regulatory requirements



Purpose of Regulatory Assistance Reviews (cont'd)



- Evaluate contractor's management and internal assessment processes
- Review process improvements and ongoing initiatives
- Consideration for mitigation in future related enforcement actions based on program improvement



Regulatory Assistance Review Process



- Requested by Site
- Document request
- Conducted by two to four member team
- On-site for approximately 3 days
- Informal entrance and exit meeting
- Report development at Headquarters



Regulatory Assistance Review Process



(cont'd)

- Report will identify strengths, weaknesses and suggestions – no findings or ratings
- Draft report provided for comments in approximately 60 days
- Final report posted on the Office of Enforcement website



Regulatory Assistance Reviews



- Integrated Program Reviews (IPRs) (HS-41, -42 and -43)
 - May 2008 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
 - September 2008 Savannah River Nuclear Solutions
 - November 2008 Sandia National Laboratories



Regulatory Assistance Reviews (cont'd)



Security Regulatory Assistance Reviews (Formerly Program Reviews)

- March 2009 B&W Pantex
- August 2009 Brookhaven
- October 2009 B&W Y-12
- May 2010 Lawrence Livermore
- July 2010 Mission Support Alliance, Hanford
- February 2011 Los Alamos
- May 2011 Paducah
- August 2011 Battelle Energy Alliance, Idaho





Strengths

- Management attention and commitment to the overall Security Program
- Effective partnership between the Contractor and the Federal line management
- Security personnel are well trained and knowledgeable of program requirements





Strengths (cont'd)

- Cyber Security has a close and effective relationship with the Incidents of Security Concern Program
- Specific training required for personnel responsible for conducting causal analysis





Weaknesses

- Enforcement Coordinator's roles and responsibilities in support of the Classified Information Security Regulatory Program not formally defined
- Ineffective internal assessments in identifying classified information security noncompliances – lack performance elements





Weaknesses (cont'd)

- Inconsistent categorization of security incidents (IMI reporting) – lack supporting evidence
- Ineffective corrective actions to prevent recurrence; administrative controls vs. engineered controls
- Weak security incident trending methods and analysis



Regulatory Assistance Reviews



Price-Anderson Regulatory Assistance Reviews

- September 2009 Lawrence Livermore
- July 2011 Argonne
- September 2011 B&W Y-12



Regulatory Assistance Reviews (cont'd)



Worker Safety and Health Regulatory Assistance Reviews

- July 2009 West Valley Environmental Services
- January 2011 B&W Y-12
- April 2011 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant





Strengths

- Contractors recognize the value of implementing an effective regulatory noncompliance reporting process
- Integration with PAAA regulatory compliance program
- Formal, documented processes for administering screening and reporting process





Weaknesses

- Not evaluating all appropriate sources for potential noncompliances (e.g., assessments, CAIRS reports, employee concerns)
- Staff who are screening for noncompliances are not adequately trained to identify applicable requirements
- Assessments do not integrate worker safety and health regulatory considerations





Weaknesses (cont'd)

- Screening processes result in inappropriate determination that an issue is not a regulatory noncompliance due to:
 - Low safety significance
 - Isolated event/condition ("but our program is compliant")
 - Immediate corrective actions
 - Results from failure to implement the contractor's Worker Safety and Health Program or the requirements of Part 851





Weaknesses (cont'd)

- Corrective actions do not correlate with noncompliances
- Absence of program metrics
 - Screening and reporting timeliness
 - Regulatory area weaknesses
 - Others?





Weaknesses (cont'd)

NTS Report issues

- Quality of information describing the noncompliances
- Most are event-based/self-disclosing/DOE identified



Follow-up Review Purpose



- Evaluate and document progress on weaknesses noted in prior Regulatory Assistance Reviews
- Provide continued assistance and feedback on program implementation



Follow-up Review Planning



- Scope will depend on the results of the prior Regulatory Assistance Review
- Organizations are identified for a follow-up review based on need and requests from either the program/site office and/or the contractor



Follow-up Review Process



- Conducted by limited number of staff; Typically 2 individuals on-site for 2-3 days
- Informal entrance and exit meeting
- No formal report issued informal trip report documenting review maintained on file
- Again correction of identified weaknesses may allow for mitigation in future enforcement actions



Closing Comments



Regulatory Assistance Reviews can:

- Build trust with DOE that you consistently identify, report, and correct regulatory noncompliances
- Promote dialogue between enforcement coordinators and HS-40 staff on implementation challenges
- Promote program improvement in a cooperative environment
- Provide formal and informal training for staff involved in regulatory compliance program



Closing Comments (cont'd)



Regulatory Assistance Reviews can:

- Offer the opportunity to benefit from enforcement discretion or mitigation
- Support your Contractor Assurance System

For more information, see the DOE Enforcement Process Overview:

http://www.hss.doe.gov/enforce/Final_EPO_2009_v4.pdf